

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,690	01/22/2004	Darwin V. Ellis	60.1527 US NP	5254
37003 7:	590 12/13/2005	EXAMINER		
SCHLUMBERGER-DOLL RESEARCH			TAYLOR, VICTOR J	
36 OLD QUARRY ROAD RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-4108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2863	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/762,690	ELLIS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Victor J. Taylor	2863		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 10-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 6-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5,8 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: Office Action	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/762,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because minor informalities found in the 1. hand drawn numbering and lettering which does not meet the requirements for formal drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Please note that each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-5, and 8-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2863

I. Claim 2 recites a long spacing and has wording in the claim for the location requirement of "between 13 and 24 inches (33-61 centimeters)" in the claim. It is not clear if the applicant means between 13 inches or 33 centimeters and 24 inches or 61 centimeters from the gamma source. The distinction between the spacing requirements is not clear and the brackets surrounding the term of "(33-61 centimeters)" are improper in the claim structure. The comparison of 33-61 centimeters is not exactly equal to 13-24 inches wherein the length is 12.99 inches and 24.01 inches respectivitivity. Appropriate correction is required.

II. Claim 3 recites a long spacing and has wording in the claim for the location requirement of "between 14 and 18 inches (36-46 centimeters)" in the claim. It is not clear if the applicant means between 14 inches or 36 centimeters and 18 inches or 41 centimeters from the gamma source. The distinction between the spacing requirements is not clear and the brackets surrounding the term of "(36-46 centimeters)" are improper in the claim structure. The comparison of 36-46 centimeters is not exactly equal to 14-18 inches wherein the length is 14.17 inches and 18.11 inches respectivitivity. Appropriate correction is required.

III. Claim 4 recites a short spacing and has wording in the claim for the location requirement of "between 5 and 12 inches (13-30 centimeters)" in the claim. It is not clear if the applicant means between 5 inches or 13 centimeters and 12 inches or 30 centimeters from the gamma source. The distinction between the spacing requirements is not clear and the brackets surrounding the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/762,690

Art Unit: 2863

term of "(13-30 centimeters)" are improper in the claim structure. The comparison of 13-30 centimeters is not exactly equal to 5-12 inches wherein the length is 5.11 inches and 11.80 inches respectivitivity. Appropriate correction is required.

IV. Claim 5 recites a short spacing and has wording in the claim for the location requirement of "between 6 and 8 inches (15-20 centimeters)" in the claim. It is not clear if the applicant means between 6 inches or 15 centimeters and 8 inches or 20 centimeters from the gamma source. The distinction between the spacing requirement is not clear and the brackets surrounding the term of "(15-20 centimeters)" are improper in the claim structure. The comparison of 15-20 centimeters is not exactly equal to 6-8 inches wherein the length is 5.90 inches and 7.87 inches respectivitivity. Appropriate correction is required.

V. Claim 8 and clam 9 are objected to because of the lack of the clear antecedent basis in the claimed steps structure and for the inclusion of the bracketed material with the indefinite selection of "one or more" steps combined with the brackets (backscatter) step in claim 8 and the brackets (determined...) step in claim 9. Appropriate correction is required.

Prior Art

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 3. applicant.
- I. Art A of Watson US 5,350,925 in class 250/269.3 is cited for the method of processing spectra data detected by the gamma ray detector of a borehole

Art Unit: 2863

tool to provide borehole parameters and discloses the nuclear logging tools in lines 30-50 of column 2.

II. Art B of Case US 5,334,833 in class 250/270 is cited for the function technique for modeling nuclear tool data calculated by a convolution of density sensitivity functions 40 in figure 1 and discloses the Monte Carlo technique in lines 40-60 of column 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, and 6-7 and 10-19 are rejected as being unpatentable over Gzara in U.S. Patent 6,768,106 B2.

With regard to claim 1, Gzara discloses a method of determining both formation density 8 in a borehole 12 environment and a characteristic of a mud mixture surrounding a drilling tool using a logging tool 400 having a gamma ray source, and a long spacing detector and a short spacing detector in figure 7-A and figure 7-B.

a. He further discloses the steps of "developing one or more cased hole calibration relationships which utilize the differences between the scattered

Art Unit: 2863

gamma rays observed by the short spacing detectors 106 and the scattered gamma rays from the formation observed by long spacing detectors 108 to determine the corrected formation density values" are disclosed in the analyzed data used to yield the formation properties as found in line 33 of column 10. He further discloses the relationships between the scattered gamma-rays using the LWD tool 100 and the long and short mounted spaced apart gamma density detectors used to collect drilling mud and formation property density data in lines 5-15 of column 10. He further discloses the computer and the computer program 320 in figure 9 used to compute the density data and uses the computer subprogram 321 to compute the bulk density of the formation in lines 20-40 of column 14.

b. He further discloses the steps of using the "cased borehole calibration relationships and scattered gamma ray measurements obtained by said long spacing detector and said short spacing detector to determine the formation density" 100 using the gamma density logging tool 400 to use the long and short gamma detected data 14 to compute the formation density 100 in figure 1 in combination with the bulk density computer program 320 in figure 9

As to claim 6, which stand rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said logging tool further includes a backscatter detector located between said gamma ray source and said short spacing detector is disclosed in the short spacing backscatter configuration for the short detector 108 in figure 7-A.

As to claim 7, which stand rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said gamma ray source comprises a Cesium-137 source is disclosed installed on

Art Unit: 2863

the gamma tool 400 in figure 1 and disclosed as the gamma source in line 12 of column 7.

As to claim 10, which stands rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein different said calibration relationships are determined for different cement densities and one or more of determines cement density utilizing the density of the cement pumped at the surface and ultrasonic measurements disclosed in the cement casing 6 in figure 1.

As to claim 11, which stands rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said scattered gamma ray measurements obtained by said long spacing detector and said short spacing detector are corrected for perturbations associated with completion hardware is disclosed in the ADC circuits connected to the computer and data processes in figure 7-A and the downhole computer 301 in line 65 of column 13.

As to claim 12, which stands rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said correction is performed by identifying a region associated with said completion hardware and substituting for perturbed samples in this region an average of the values of closest good samples on either side of these perturbed samples is disclosed as the data gathered and found in the soft window count rates for the detectors long and short acquisition of density samples 335 in figure 12-E.

As to claim 13, which stands rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said short spacing detector and said long spacing detector each have multiple energy windows 335 and count rates from lower energy windows associated with

Art Unit: 2863

said detectors are ignored or underweighted with respect to higher energy windows associated with said detectors as found in the computation processes and acquisition time samples 335 in figure 12-F

As to claim 14, which stands rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein further comprising determining a maximum standoff distance been said logging tool and the formation is disclosed in the tool position and the detector location on the tool in relation to the borehole in figure 1 and defined in the computations for the quadrants in lines 28-30 of column 13.

As to claim 15, which stands rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein further comprising determining when the standoff distance between said logging tool and the formation exceeds said maximum standoff distance is determined in the computer computations and the computer program 326 in line 48 column 14.

With regard to claim 16, Gzara discloses an article of manufacture with,

- a. A computer useable medium having a computer readable program code means embodied therein for determining formation density in a cased whole environment, the computer readable program code means in said article of manufacture disclosed in the computer program 320 illustrated in figure 8 using the sub-program "Spine and Ribs" which discloses the data acquisition program 315 stored on the computer memory which comprises the article of manufacture in figure 8 on line 5-60 of column 14.
- b. Computer readable program means for determining formation density in a cased bore hole 6 shown in figure 1 environment using one or more cased hole calibration relationships and measurements made by a logging tool having a

Art Unit: 2863

gamma ray source, a long spacing detector, and a short spacing detector is disclosed on the bore hole tool in figure 1 all elements and discloses in the schematic of figure 2 the LWD tool with the detectors and the gamma ray source and in lines 5-25 of column 7.

With regard to claim 17, Gzara discloses a computerized well logging system for determining the formation density in a cased hole environment. And,

a. A logging tool having a gamma ray source, a long spacing detector, and a short spacing detector disclosed in the LWD tool 400 in figure 1. And,

b. A computing module and/or processing circuitry, connected to said logging tool, having means for calculating formation density from gamma ray scattering measurements obtained by said long spacing detector and gamma ray scattering measurements obtained by said short spacing detector using one or more cased hole calibration relationships is disclosed in the surface instrumentation 14 in figure and in combination with the complete patent and in lines 5-65 of column 9 and column 10.

As to claim 18, which stand rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said logging tool is suspended by a cable and a swivel allows said logging tool to rotate with respect to said cable is disclosed on the drill string which rotates the tool using the Kelly 5 rig top side in figure 1.

As to claim 19, which stand rejected on the rejected base claim, wherein said logging tool has a recommended open hole logging speed and a recommended cased hole logging speed and said recommended cased hole logging speed is at least two times slower than said recommended open hole

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/762,690

Art Unit: 2863

logging speed is disclosed in the rotation parameters of the LWD tool and is determined by the system control 14 using the top side instruments and control station 14 in figure 1 in combination with the computer and computer program 320 in line 18 of column 14.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor J. Taylor whose telephone number is 571-272-2281. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mande In

Supervisory Patent/Comminer Technology Center 2800